arXiv:1410.1847v1 [math.AP] 7 Oct 2014

A DISC MAXIMIZES LAPLACE EIGENVALUES AMONG ISOPERIMETRIC SURFACES OF REVOLUTION

SINAN ARITURK

Abstract. The Dirichlet eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator are larger on a flat disc than on any other surface of revolution immersed in Euclidean space with the same boundary.

1. Introduction

Let Σ be a compact connected immersed surface of revolution in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with one smooth boundary component. The Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^3$ induces a Riemannian metric on Σ. Let Δ_Σ be the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operator on Σ. Denote the Dirichlet eigenvalues of $-\Delta_\Sigma$ by

$$0 < \lambda_1(\Sigma) < \lambda_2(\Sigma) \leq \lambda_3(\Sigma) \leq \dots$$

Let R be the radius of the boundary of Σ, and let D be a disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$ of radius R. Let Δ be the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^2$, and denote the Dirichlet eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ on D by

$$0 < \lambda_1(D) < \lambda_2(D) \leq \lambda_3(D) \leq \dots$$

Theorem. *If Σ is not equal to D, then for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,*

$$\lambda_j(\Sigma) < \lambda_j(D)$$

We remark that there are compact connected surfaces, which are not surfaces of revolution, embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$ whose boundary is a circle of radius R and have first Dirichlet eigenvalue larger than $\lambda_1(D)$. This can be proven with Berger's variational formulas [Be].

This problem resonates with the Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn inequality, which states that the flat disc has smaller first Dirichlet eigenvalue than any other domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the same area [F] [K]. Hersch proved that the canonical metric on $\mathbb{S}^2$ maximizes the first non-zero eigenvalue among metrics with the same area [H]. Li and Yau showed the canonical metric on $\mathbb{RP}^2$ maximizes the first non-zero eigenvalue among metrics with the same area [LY]. Nadirashvili proved the same is true for the flat equilateral torus, whose fundamental parallelogram is comprised of two equilateral triangles [N1]. It is not known if there is such a maximal metric on the Klein bottle, but Jakobson, Nadirashvili, and Polterovich showed there is a critical metric

[JNP]. El Soufi, Giacomini, and Jazar proved this is the only critical metric on the Klein bottle [EGJ].

As for the second eigenvalue, the Krahn-Szegö inequality states that the union of two discs with the same radius has smaller second Dirichlet eigenvalue than any other domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the same area [K]. Nadirashvili proved that the union of two round spheres of the same radius has larger second non-zero eigenvalue than any metric on $\mathbb{S}^2$ with the same area [N2].

It is conjectured that a disc has smaller third Dirichlet eigenvalue than any other planar domain with the same area. Bucur and Henrot established the existence of a quasi-open set in $\mathbb{R}^2$ which minimizes for the third eigenvalue among sets of prescribed Lebesgue measure [BH]. This was extended to higher eigenvalues by Bucur [Bu].

On a compact orientable surface, Yang and Yau obtained upper bounds, depending on the genus, for the first non-zero eigenvalue among metrics of the same area [YY]. Li and Yau extended these bounds to compact non-orientable surfaces [LY]. However, Urakawa showed that there are metrics on $\mathbb{S}^3$ with volume one and arbitrarily large first non-zero eigenvalue [U]. Colbois and Dodziuk extended this to any manifold of dimension three or higher [CD].

For a closed compact hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, Chavel and Reilly obtained upper bounds for the first non-zero eigenvalue in terms of the surface area and the volume of the enclosed domain [C, R]. This was extended to higher eigenvalues by Colbois, El Soufi, and Girouard [CEG]. Abreu and Freitas proved that for a metric on $\mathbb{S}^2$ which can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as a surface of revolution, the first $\mathbb{S}^1$-invariant eigenvalue is less than the first Dirichlet eigenvalue on a flat disc with half the area [AF]. Colbois, Dryden, and El Soufi extended this to $O(n)$-invariant metrics on $\mathbb{S}^n$ which can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as hypersurfaces of revolution [CDE].

We conclude this section by reformulating the theorem. Fix a plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$ containing the axis of symmetry of Σ. Identify $\mathbb{R}^2$ with this plane isometrically in such a way that the axis of symmetry is identified with

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = 0\}$$

Define

$$\mathbb{R}^2_+ = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \geq 0\}$$

We may assume $\partial\Sigma$ intersects $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ at the point $(R, 0)$. Let L be the length of the meridian $\Sigma \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+$. Let $\alpha : [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ be a regular, arc-length parametrization of $\Sigma \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+$ with $\alpha(0) = (R, 0)$. Write $\alpha = (F_\alpha, G_\alpha)$. Note that $F_\alpha(L) = 0$ and F_α is positive over $[0, L)$.

Let $C^1_0(0, L)$ be the set of functions $w : [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}$ which are continuously differentiable and vanish at zero. For a non-negative integer k and a positive

integer n, define

$$\lambda_{k,n}(\alpha) = \min_{W} \max_{w \in W} \frac{\int_0^L |w'|^2 F_\alpha + \frac{k^2 w^2}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L w^2 F_\alpha \, dt}$$

Here the minimum is taken over all n-dimensional subspaces W of $C_0^1(0, L)$. We remark that

$$\left\{ \lambda_j(\Sigma) \right\} = \left\{ \lambda_{k,n}(\alpha) \right\}$$

Moreover, if we count $\lambda_{k,n}(\alpha)$ twice for $k \neq 0$, then the values occur with the same multiplicity. Define $\omega : [0, R] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ by

$$\omega(t) = (R - t, 0)$$

Define $\lambda_{k,n}(\omega)$ similarly to $\lambda_{k,n}(\alpha)$. Then

$$\left\{ \lambda_j(D) \right\} = \left\{ \lambda_{k,n}(\omega) \right\}$$

Again, if we count $\lambda_{k,n}(\omega)$ twice for $k \neq 0$, then the values occur with the same multiplicity. Now to prove the theorem, it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *If α does not equal ω, then for any non-negative integer k and any positive integer n,*

$$\lambda_{k,n}(\alpha) < \lambda_{k,n}(\omega)$$

To prove this, we define a neighborhood of the boundary $\partial \mathbb{R}^2_+$ and treat the segments of the curve outside and inside of this neighborhood seperately. For the exterior segment, we simply project α orthogonally onto ω and observe that this increases the eigenvalue. For the interior segment, we unroll the curve to ω and see that this increases the eigenvalue as well.

2. Proof

We first extend the definition of the functionals $\lambda_{k,n}$ to Lipschitz curves. Let $[a, b]$ be a finite, closed interval and let $\psi : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ be a Lipschitz curve. Write $\psi = (F_\psi, G_\psi)$. Assume that F_ψ is positive over $[a, b)$. Let $\mathrm{Lip}_0(a, b)$ be the set of continuous functions $w : [a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ which vanish at a and are Lipschitz over $[a, c]$ for every c in (a, b). For a non-negative integer k and a positive integer n, define

$$\lambda_{k,n}(\psi) = \inf_{W} \max_{w \in W} \frac{\int_a^b \frac{|w'|^2 F_\psi}{|\psi'|} + \frac{k^2 w^2 |\psi'|}{F_\psi}\, dt}{\int_a^b w^2 F_\psi |\psi'| \, dt}$$

Here the infimum is taken over all n-dimensional subspaces W of $\mathrm{Lip}_0(a,b)$. Let $H_0^1(\psi,k)$ be the set of continuous functions $w:[a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$ which vanish at a and have a weak derivative such that

$$\int_a^b \frac{|w'|^2F_\psi}{|\psi'|}+\frac{k^2w^2|\psi'|}{F_\psi}\,dt<\infty$$

In the following lemma, we note that if ψ is a regular piecewise continuously differentiable curve which meets the axis transversally, then the infimum in the defintion of the functionals $\lambda_{k,n}$ is attained.

Lemma 2. *Let $\psi:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^2_+$ be a piecewise continuously differentiable curve. Assume there is a positive constant c such that for all t in $[a,b]$,*

$$|\psi'(t)|\geq c$$

Write $\psi=(F_\psi,G_\psi)$. Assume that F_ψ is positive over $[a,b)$. Assume that $F_\psi(b)=0$ and $F'_\psi(b)<0$. Let k be a non-negative integer. Then there are functions

$$\varphi_{k,1},\varphi_{k,2},\varphi_{k,3},\dots$$

which form an orthonormal basis of $H_0^1(\psi,k)$ such that, for any positive integer n,

$$\lambda_{k,n}(\psi)=\frac{\int_a^b \frac{|\varphi'_{k,n}|^2F_\psi}{|\psi'|}+\frac{k^2\varphi_{k,n}^2|\psi'|}{F_\psi}\,dt}{\int_a^b\varphi_{k,n}^2F_\psi|\psi'|\,dt}$$

Each function $\varphi_{k,n}$ has exactly $n-1$ roots in (a,b) and satisfies the following equation weakly:

$$\left(\frac{F_\psi\varphi'_{k,n}}{|\psi'|}\right)'=\frac{k^2|\psi'|\varphi_{k,n}}{F_\psi}-\lambda_{k,n}(\psi)F_\psi|\psi'|\varphi_{k,n}$$

Also,

$$\lambda_{k,1}(\psi)<\lambda_{k,2}(\psi)<\lambda_{k,3}(\psi)<\dots$$

We omit the proof which is standard and refer to Gilbarg and Trudinger [GT] and Zettl [Z].

Now fix a non-negative integer K and a positive integer N, for the remainder of the article. Let

$$\mu=\frac{K}{\sqrt{\lambda_{K,N}(\omega)}}$$

The inequality $\mu<R$ is a basic fact about Bessel functions [W]. Let α be as defined in the introduction, and let

$$A=\min\left\{t\in[0,L]:F_\alpha(t)=\mu\right\}$$

Define $\beta : [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ to be a piecewise continuously differentiable function such that $\beta(0) = (R, 0)$ and

$$\beta'(t) = \begin{cases} (F'_\alpha(t), 0) & t \in [0, A) \\ (F'_\alpha(t), G'_\alpha(t)) & t \in (A, L] \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3. *Assume α is not equal to β and $\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha) \geq \lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$. Then*

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha) < \lambda_{K,N}(\beta)$$

Proof. Fix a number p in $(0, 1)$. Define $\alpha_p : [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ to be a regular piecewise continuously differentiable curve such that $\alpha_p(0) = (R, 0)$ and

$$\alpha'_p(t) = \begin{cases} (F'_\alpha(t), pG'_\alpha(t)) & t \in [0, A) \\ (F'_\alpha(t), G'_\alpha(t)) & t \in (A, L] \end{cases}$$

We first show that

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha) < \lambda_{K,N}(\alpha_p)$$

By Lemma 2, there is a N-dimensional subspace Φ of $H^1_0(\alpha_p, K)$ such that

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha_p) = \max_{w \in \Phi} \frac{\int_0^L \frac{|w'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\alpha'_p|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\alpha'_p|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L w^2 F_\alpha |\alpha'_p|\, dt}$$

Moreover Φ is contained in $\mathrm{Lip}_0(0, L)$ and the maximum over Φ is only attained by scalar multiples of a function $\varphi_{K,N}$ which has exactly $N - 1$ roots in $(0, L)$. Let v be a function in Φ such that

$$\frac{\int_0^L \frac{|v'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\alpha'|} + \frac{K^2 v^2 |\alpha'|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L v^2 F_\alpha |\alpha'|\, dt} = \max_{w \in \Phi} \frac{\int_0^L \frac{|w'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\alpha'|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\alpha'|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L w^2 F_\alpha |\alpha'|\, dt}$$

Note this quantity is at least $\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha)$, which is at least $\lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$. It follows that

$$\frac{\int_0^L \frac{|v'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\alpha'|} + \frac{K^2 v^2 |\alpha'|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L v^2 F_\alpha |\alpha'|\, dt} \leq \frac{\int_0^L \frac{|v'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\alpha'_p|} + \frac{K^2 v^2 |\alpha'_p|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L v^2 F_\alpha |\alpha'_p|\, dt}$$

If equality holds, then v must vanish on a set of positive measure. In either case, we obtain

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha) \leq \frac{\int_0^L \frac{|v'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\alpha'|} + \frac{K^2 v^2 |\alpha'|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L v^2 F_\alpha |\alpha'|\, dt} < \lambda_{K,N}(\alpha_p)$$

Now we repeat the argument to obtain

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha_p) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\beta)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an N-dimensional subspace W of $\mathrm{Lip}_0(0, L)$ such that

$$\max_{w \in W} \frac{\int_0^L \frac{|w'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\beta'|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\beta'|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^1 w^2 F_\alpha |\beta'|\, dt} < \lambda_{K,N}(\beta) + \varepsilon$$

Let u be a function in W such that

$$\frac{\int_0^L \frac{|u'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\alpha_p'|} + \frac{K^2 u^2 |\alpha_p'|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L u^2 F_\alpha |\alpha_p'|\, dt} = \max_{w \in W} \frac{\int_0^L \frac{|w'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\alpha_p'|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\alpha_p'|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L w^2 F_\alpha |\alpha_p'|\, dt}$$

Note this quantity is at least $\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha_p)$, which is at least $\lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$. It follows that

$$\frac{\int_0^L \frac{|u'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\alpha_p'|} + \frac{K^2 u^2 |\alpha_p'|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L u^2 F_\alpha |\alpha_p'|\, dt} \leq \frac{\int_0^L \frac{|u'|^2 F_\alpha}{|\beta'|} + \frac{K^2 u^2 |\beta'|}{F_\alpha}\, dt}{\int_0^L u^2 F_\alpha |\beta'|\, dt}$$

Now we obtain

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha_p) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\beta) + \varepsilon$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha) < \lambda_{K,N}(\beta)$$

□

Write $\beta = (F_\beta, G_\beta)$. Define $F_\gamma : [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F_\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} \min\{F_\beta(s) : s \in [0, t]\} & t \in [0, A] \\ F_\beta & t \in [A, L] \end{cases}$$

Let $G_\gamma = G_\beta$. Let $\gamma = (F_\gamma, G_\gamma)$. Note that $\gamma : [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ is Lipschitz.

Lemma 4. *Assume $\lambda_{K,N}(\beta) \geq \lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$. Then*

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\beta) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\gamma)$$

Proof. Define

$$V = \left\{ t \in [0, A] : F_\beta(t) \neq F_\gamma(t) \right\}$$

By the Riesz sunrise lemma, there are disjoint open intervals (a_i, b_i) such that

$$V = \bigcup_i (a_i, b_i)$$

and F_γ is constant over each interval. Suppose $\lambda_{K,N}(\beta) > \lambda_{K,N}(\gamma)$. Then there is a N-dimensional subspace W of $\mathrm{Lip}_0(0, L)$ such that

$$\max_{w \in W} \frac{\int_0^L \frac{|w'|^2 F_\gamma}{|\gamma'|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\gamma'|}{F_\gamma}\, dt}{\int_0^L |w|^2 F_\gamma |\gamma'|\, dt} < \lambda_{K,N}(\beta)$$

Note that over each interval (a_i, b_i), the function $|\gamma'|$ is zero, so each w in W is constant. Let $J = [0, L] \setminus V$. The isolated points of J are countable,

so at almost every point in J, the curve γ is differentiable with $\gamma' = \beta'$. If w is a non-zero function in W, then w cannot vanish identically on J, and

$$\frac{\int_J \frac{|w'|^2 F_\beta}{|\beta'|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\beta'|}{F_\beta}\, dt}{\int_J |w|^2 F_\beta |\beta'|\, dt} = \frac{\int_0^L \frac{|w'|^2 F_\gamma}{|\gamma'|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\gamma'|}{F_\gamma}\, dt}{\int_0^L |w|^2 F_\gamma |\gamma'|\, dt} < \lambda_{K,N}(\beta)$$

Also for every w in W,

$$\int_V \frac{|w'|^2 F_\beta}{|\beta'|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\beta'|}{F_\beta}\, dt = \int_V \frac{K^2 w^2 |\beta'|}{F_\beta}\, dt \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\omega) \int_V |w|^2 F_\beta |\beta'|\, dt$$

Here the inequality is strict unless w is identically zero over V. It follows that

$$\max_{w \in W} \frac{\int_0^L \frac{|w'|^2 F_\beta}{|\beta'|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\beta'|}{F_\beta}\, dt}{\int_0^L |w|^2 F_\beta |\beta'|\, dt} < \lambda_{K,N}(\beta)$$

This is a contradiction. □

Let L^* be the length of γ. Define $\ell : [0, L] \to [0, L^*]$ by

$$\ell(t) = \int_0^t |\gamma'(u)|\, du$$

Define $\rho : [0, L^*] \to [0, L]$ by

$$\rho(s) = \min \Big\{ t \in [0, L] : \ell(t) = s \Big\}$$

This function ρ need not be continuous, but $\zeta = \gamma \circ \rho$ is piecewise continuously differentiable, and for all t in $[0, L]$,

$$\zeta(\ell(t)) = \gamma(t)$$

Morover ζ is parametrized by arc length.

Lemma 5. *This reparametrization satisfies*

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\gamma) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\zeta)$$

Proof. Write $\gamma = (F_\gamma, G_\gamma)$ and $\zeta = (F_\zeta, G_\zeta)$. Let w be a function in $\mathrm{Lip}_0(0, L^*)$ such that

$$\frac{\int_0^{L^*} \frac{|w'|^2 F_\zeta}{|\zeta'|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\zeta'|}{F_\zeta}\, dt}{\int_0^{L^*} |w|^2 F_\zeta |\zeta'|\, dt} < \infty$$

Define $v = w \circ \ell$. Then v is in $\mathrm{Lip}_0(0, L)$, and changing variables yields

$$\frac{\int_0^L \frac{|v'|^2 F_\gamma}{|\gamma'|} + \frac{K^2 v^2 |\gamma'|}{F_\gamma}\, dt}{\int_0^L |v|^2 F_\gamma |\gamma'|\, dt} = \frac{\int_0^{L^*} \frac{|w'|^2 F_\zeta}{|\zeta'|} + \frac{K^2 w^2 |\zeta'|}{F_\zeta}\, dt}{\int_0^{L^*} |w|^2 F_\zeta |\zeta'|\, dt}$$

It follows that $\lambda_{K,N}(\gamma) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\zeta)$. □

We can now prove Lemma 1 for the case $K = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 1 for the case $K = 0$. Suppose α is not equal to ω and

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha) \geq \lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$$

Then α is not equal to β, so by Lemmas 3, 4, and 5

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha) < \lambda_{K,N}(\beta) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\gamma) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\zeta)$$

But in this case, $\zeta = \omega$, so the proof is complete. □

For the remainder of the article, we assume that K is positive. Write $\zeta = (F_\zeta, G_\zeta)$. Let $P = R - \mu$. Let $\chi : [0, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ be a piecewise continuously differentiable function such that $\chi(0) = (R, 0)$ and for t in $[0, L^*]$ with $t \neq P$,

$$\chi'(t) = \Big(F'_\zeta(t), |G'_\zeta(t)|\Big)$$

Then $\lambda_{K,N}(\zeta) = \lambda_{K,N}(\chi)$, trivially. Write $\chi = (F_\chi, G_\chi)$. Note that, for t in $[0, P]$,

$$\chi(t) = R - t$$

Also, for every t in $[0, L^*]$ with $t \neq P$,

$$|\chi'| = 1$$

Let $\Phi_{K,1}, \Phi_{K,2}, \ldots$ be the functions given by Lemma 2 associated to ω. Let z_0 be the largest root of $\Phi_{K,N}$ in $(0, R)$. It follows from basic facts about Bessel functions [W] that $z_0 < P$ and that $\Phi_{K,N}$ has no critical points in $[P, R)$. There is a unique number Λ such that there exists a function $u : [z_0, P] \to \mathbb{R}$ which is non-vanishing over (z_0, P) and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (\omega u')' + (\Lambda\omega - \frac{K^2}{\omega})u = 0 \\ u(z_0) = 0 \\ u'(P) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$\Lambda < \lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$$

To compare $\lambda_{K,N}(\chi)$ and $\lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *Let Q and z be real numbers with $z < z_0$ and $Q > P$. Let $\psi : [z, Q] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ be continuously differentiable over $[P, Q]$. Assume that, for t in $[z, P]$,*

$$\psi(t) = (R - t, 0)$$

Write $\psi = (F_\psi, G_\psi)$. Assume that $F_\psi(Q) = 0$ and F_ψ is positive over $[z, Q)$. Assume that $|\psi'| = 1$ over (P, Q) and that $F'_\psi(Q) < 0$. Let φ be a function in $\mathrm{Lip}_0(z, Q)$ such that

$$\lambda_{K,1}(\psi) = \frac{\int_z^Q |\varphi'|^2 F_\psi + \frac{K^2\varphi^2}{F_\psi}\, dt}{\int_z^Q \varphi^2 F_\psi\, dt}$$

Assume that $\lambda_{K,1}(\psi) > \Lambda$. *Then*

$$\lim_{t\to Q} \varphi(t) = 0$$

Also φ *is differentiable over* $[z, Q)$, *and over* $[P, Q)$,

$$|\varphi'|^2 - \frac{K^2\varphi^2}{|F_\psi|^2} \leq 0$$

Furthermore φ' *and* $\frac{\varphi}{F_\psi}$ *are bounded over* $[z, Q)$.

Proof. Since $|\varphi'|^2 F_\psi$ and φ^2/F_ψ are integrable, the function φ^2 is absolutely continuous. Moreover φ^2/F_ψ is integrable, but $1/F_\psi$ is not integrable over (c, Q) for any c in (z, Q). It follows that

$$\lim_{t\to Q} \varphi(t) = 0$$

By Lemma 2, the function φ is continuously differentiable over $[z, Q)$, and twice continuously differentiable over $[z, P)$ and (P, Q), with

$$(F_\psi\varphi')' = \frac{K^2\varphi}{F_\psi} - \lambda_{K,N}(\psi)F_\psi\varphi$$

It is also non-vanishing over (z, Q). We may assume that φ is positive over (z, Q). Furthermore, the Picone identity (see, e.g. Zettl [Z]) implies that

$$\varphi'(P) < 0$$

The function

$$F_\psi^2|\varphi'|^2 - K^2\varphi^2$$

is differentiable over (P, Q), and its derivative is

$$-2\lambda_{K,N}(\psi)F_\psi^2\varphi\varphi'$$

Therefore, we can prove the inequality by showing that

$$\lim_{t\to Q} F_\psi^2|\varphi'|^2 = 0$$

Note that

$$(F_\psi^2|\varphi'|^2)' = 2K^2\varphi\varphi' - 2\lambda_{K,N}(\psi)F_\psi^2\varphi\varphi'$$

Since $|\varphi'|^2 F_\psi$ and φ^2/F_ψ are integrable, it follows that $F_\psi^2|\varphi'|^2$ is absolutely continuous. Moreover, the limit as t tends to Q must be zero, because $F_\psi|\varphi'|^2$ is integrable and $1/F_\psi$ is not integrable over (c, Q) for any c in (z, Q).

It remains to show that φ' and $\frac{\varphi}{F_\psi}$ are bounded over $[z, Q)$. Let z_* be a point in $[P, Q)$ such that over $[z_*, Q)$,

$$\frac{K^2}{F_\psi} - \lambda_{K,N}(\psi)F_\psi > 0$$

Then φ' cannot vanish in $[z_*, Q)$. That is φ' is negative over $[z_*, Q)$. We have seen that over (z_*, Q),

$$K\varphi \geq -F_\psi \varphi'$$

Now over (z_*, Q),

$$\varphi'' \geq -\frac{F'_\psi \varphi'}{F_\psi} - \frac{K\varphi'}{F_\psi} - \lambda_{K,N}(\psi)\varphi$$

In particular, since $K \geq 1$,

$$\liminf_{t \to Q} \varphi'' \geq 0$$

Therefore φ' is bounded. Since $F'_\psi(Q) < 0$, it follows from Cauchy's mean value theorem that $\frac{\varphi}{F}$ is bounded. □

To compare $\lambda_{K,N}(\chi)$ and $\lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$ we will unroll χ to ω. The following lemma describes the homotopy more precisely.

Lemma 7. *Let $\chi_0 : [P, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a continuously differentiable curve, parametrized by arc length. Assume $\chi_0(P) = (\mu, 0)$. Write $\chi_0 = (F_0, G_0)$, and assume that $F_0(L^*) = 0$ and $F'_0(L^*) = -1$. Also assume that F_0 is positive over $[P, L^*)$ and G'_0 is non-negative over $[P, L^*]$. Define a curve $\chi_1 : [P, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by*

$$\chi_1(t) = \Big(R - t, 0\Big)$$

Then there is a C^1 homotopy $\chi_s : [P, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ for s in $[0, 1]$ with the following properties. The homotopy fixes P, that is $\chi_s(P) = (\mu, 0)$ for all s in $[0, 1]$. Each curve in the homotopy is parametrized by arc length, so for all t in $[P, L^*]$ and for all s in $[0, 1]$,

$$|\chi'_s(t)| = 1$$

If we write $\chi_s = (F_s, G_s)$, then for all t in $[P, L^*]$ and for all s in $[0, 1]$,

$$\dot{F}_s(t) \leq 0$$

Finally, if L^*_s is defined by

$$L^*_s = \min\Big\{t \in [P, L^*] : F_s(t) = 0\Big\}$$

then $F'_s(L^*_s) < 0$, for all s in $[0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $h : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function such that $h(0) = 0$, $h'(0) = 0$, $h(1) = 1$, $h'(1) = 0$ and $h'(s) > 0$ for all s in $(0, 1)$. For functions $f_0 : [P, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f_1 : [P, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}$, with $f_0 \geq f_1$, we define a homotopy by

$$f_s = (1 - h(s))f_0 + h(s)f_1$$

We refer to this homotopy as the monotonic homotopy from f_0 to f_1 via h.

There is a continuous function $\theta_0 : [P, L^*] \to [0, \pi]$ such that, for all t in $[P, L^*]$

$$\chi_0'(t) = \Big(-\cos\theta_0(t), \sin\theta_0(t)\Big)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small. There is a continuous function $\theta_1 : [P, L^*] \to [0, \pi]$, which has the following three properties. First for all t in $[P, L^*]$,

$$\theta_0(t) - \varepsilon \leq \theta_1(t) \leq \theta_0(t)$$

Second θ_1 is continuously differentiable over the set

$$\Big\{ t \in [P, L^*] : \theta_1(t) \in (\pi/4, \pi] \Big\}$$

and θ_1 has finitely many critical points in this set. Third $\pi/2$ is a regular value of θ_1. We take the monotonic homotopy from θ_0 to θ_1 via h. The set

$$\Big\{ t \in [P, L^*] : \theta_1(t) \geq \pi/2 \Big\}$$

consists of finitely many closed intervals $[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \ldots$, indexed so that $a_i > b_{i+1}$ for all i. Let U_1 be a small neighborhood of $[a_1, b_1]$. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ be small, and define $\theta_2 : [P, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\theta_2(t) = \begin{cases} \theta_1(t) & t \notin U_1 \\ \min(\theta_1(t), \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta_1) & t \in U_1 \end{cases}$$

If U_1 is sufficiently small, then for sufficiently small δ_1, this function is continous. Take the monotonic homotopy from θ_1 to θ_2 via h. Repeat this for each of the closed intervals, letting $U_2, U_3, \ldots$ be small neighborhoods of each of the intervals, and letting $\delta_2, \delta_3, \ldots$ be small positive numbers. This yields finitely many homotopies. Finally, take the monotonic homotopy from the last function to the constant zero function via h. Let $\tilde{\theta}_s : [P, L^*] \to [0, \pi]$, for s in $[0, 1]$ be the composition of all of these homotopies. Then define $\chi_s : [P, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ for s in $[0, 1]$ to be the C^1 homotopy with $\chi_s(P) = (\mu, 0)$ and for all t in $[P, L^*]$,

$$\chi_s'(t) = \Big(-\cos\tilde{\theta}_s(t), \sin\tilde{\theta}_s(t)\Big)$$

If the parameters are sufficiently small, then this homotopy satisfies the properties. □

Now we can compare $\lambda_{K,N}(\chi)$ and $\lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$.

Lemma 8. *If χ is not equal to ω, then*

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\chi) < \lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$$

Proof. Suppose $\lambda_{K,N}(\chi) \geq \lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$. Let $\varphi_{K,1}, \varphi_{K,2}, \varphi_{K,3}, \dots$ be the functions given by Lemma 2 associated to the curve χ. Let z be the largest root of $\varphi_{K,N}$. Define $\chi_0 : [z, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ by

$$\chi_0 = \chi\Big|_{[z,L^*]}$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\chi) = \lambda_{K,1}(\chi_0)$$

Define $\omega_1 : [z, R] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ by

$$\omega_1(t) = (R - t, 0)$$

It follows from the Picone identity that $z < z_0$ and

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\omega) \geq \lambda_{K,1}(\omega_1)$$

Let $\chi_s : [P, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}^2_+$ be the homotopy discussed in Lemma 7. Extend the domain of each curve χ_s to $[z, L^*]$, by defining, for all s in $[0, 1]$ and for all t in $[z, P]$,

$$\chi_s(t) = \chi_0(t) = (R - t, 0)$$

For s in $[0, 1]$, write $\chi_s = (F_s, G_s)$ and define

$$L^*_s = \min\left\{t \in [z, L^*] : F_s(t) = 0\right\}$$

Then define

$$\omega_s = \chi_s\Big|_{[z,L^*_s]}$$

These functions map into $\mathbb{R}^2_+$. Note ω_1 agrees with the previous defintion and $\omega_0 = \chi_0$. We will show that the function

$$s \mapsto \lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)$$

is monotonically increasing over $[0, 1]$. We will do this by showing it is continuous and has non-negative lower left Dini derivative at points σ in $(0, 1]$ where $\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma) > \Lambda$.

We first show the function

$$s \mapsto \lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)$$

is lower semicontinuous. Fix a point σ in $[0, 1]$ such that

$$\liminf_{s\to\sigma} \lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s) < \infty$$

Let $\{s_k\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ converging to σ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_{K,1}(\omega_{s_k}) = \liminf_{s\to\sigma} \lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)$$

By Lemma 2, for each s in $[0,1]$, there is a function φ_s in $\mathrm{Lip}_0(z, L_s^*)$ such that

$$\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s) = \frac{\int_z^{L_s^*} |\varphi_s'|^2 F_s + \frac{K^2\varphi_s^2}{F_s}\, dt}{\int_z^{L_s^*} \varphi_s^2 F_s\, dt}$$

We may assume that each function φ_s is normalized so that

$$\int_z^{L_s^*} |\varphi_s|^2 F_s\, dt = 1$$

For s in $[0,1]$, let $\ell_s : [z, L_\sigma^*] \to [z, L_s^*]$ be a linear function with $\ell_s(z) = z$ and $\ell_s(L_\sigma^*) = L_s^*$. Define $W_s = \varphi_s \circ \ell_s$, for s in $[0,1]$. Then define $\tau : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tau(s) = \frac{\int_z^{L_\sigma^*} |W_s'|^2 F_\sigma + \frac{K^2 W_s^2}{F_\sigma}\, dt}{\int_z^{L_\sigma^*} W_s^2 F_\sigma\, dt}$$

Changing variables yields

$$\tau(s) = \frac{\int_z^{L_s^*} |\ell_s'|^2 |\varphi_s'|^2 (F_\sigma \circ \ell_s^{-1}) + \frac{K^2\varphi_s^2}{(F_\sigma \circ \ell_s^{-1})}\, dt}{\int_z^{L_s^*} \varphi_s^2 (F_\sigma \circ \ell_s^{-1})\, dt}$$

For s in $[0,1]$, define $\Psi_s : [0, L^*] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Psi_s(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{F_\sigma \circ \ell_s^{-1}(t)}{F_s(t)} & t \in [0, L_s^*) \\ 1 & t \in [L_s^*, L^*] \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{s\to\sigma} \Psi_s = 1$$

and the convergence is uniform. This follows from the fact that the functions

$$(s,t) \mapsto F_\sigma \circ \ell_s^{-1}(t)$$

and

$$(s,t) \mapsto F_s(t)$$

are both differentiable at the point (σ, L_σ^*) and their derivatives at this point are equal. Now we see that

$$\lim_{s\to\sigma} \int_z^{L_s^*} \varphi_s^2 F_s\, dt - \int_z^{L_s^*} \varphi_s^2 (F_\sigma \circ \ell_s^{-1})\, dt = 0$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_z^{L_{s_k}^*} |\varphi_{s_k}'|^2 F_{s_k}\, dt - \int_z^{L_{s_k}^*} |\varphi_{s_k}'|^2 (F_\sigma \circ \ell_{s_k}^{-1})\, dt = 0$$

Also,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_z^{L_{s_k}^*} \frac{K^2\varphi_{s_k}}{F_{s_k}}\, dt - \int_z^{L_{s_k}^*} \frac{K^2\varphi_{s_k}}{(F_\sigma \circ \ell_{s_k}^{-1})}\, dt = 0$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\Big(\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_{s_k})-\tau(s_k)\Big)=0$$

Moreover $\tau(s)\geq\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)$ for all s in $[\sigma,1]$. Therefore,

$$\liminf_{s\to\sigma}\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)\geq\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)$$

This proves that the function

$$s\mapsto\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)$$

is lower semicontinuous.

Next we show the function

$$s\mapsto\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)$$

is upper semicontinuous. Fix a point σ in $[0,1]$. By Lemma 2, there is a function φ_σ in $\mathrm{Lip}_0(z,L^*_\sigma)$ such that

$$\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)=\frac{\int_z^{L^*_\sigma}|\varphi'_\sigma|^2F_\sigma+\frac{K^2\varphi_\sigma^2}{F_\sigma}\,dt}{\int_z^{L^*_\sigma}\varphi_\sigma^2F_\sigma\,dt}$$

For s in $[0,1]$, let $\ell_s:[z,L^*_\sigma]\to[z,L^*_s]$ be a linear function with $\ell_s(z)=z$ and $\ell_s(L^*_\sigma)=L^*_s$. Define $V_s=\varphi_\sigma\circ\ell_s^{-1}$, for s in $[0,1]$. Changing variables yields

$$\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)=\frac{\int_z^{L^*_s}|\ell'_s|^2|V'_s|^2(F_\sigma\circ\ell_s^{-1})+\frac{K^2V_s^2}{(F_\sigma\circ\ell_s^{-1})}\,dt}{\int_z^{L^*_s}V_s^2(F_\sigma\circ\ell_s^{-1})\,dt}$$

Then define $\Upsilon:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Upsilon(s)=\frac{\int_z^{L^*_s}|V'_s|^2F_s+\frac{K^2V_s^2}{F_s}\,dt}{\int_z^{L^*_s}V_s^2F_s\,dt}$$

For s in $[0,1]$, define $\Psi_s:[0,L^*]\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Psi_s(t)=\begin{cases}\frac{F_\sigma\circ\ell_s^{-1}(t)}{F_s(t)} & t\in[0,L^*_s)\\ 1 & t\in[L^*_s,L^*]\end{cases}$$

As before,

$$\lim_{s\to\sigma}\Psi_s=1$$

and the convergence is uniform. Now we see that

$$\lim_{s\to\sigma}\int_z^{L^*_s}V_s^2F_s\,dt-\int_z^{L^*_s}V_s^2(F_\sigma\circ\ell_s^{-1})\,dt=0$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{s\to\sigma}\int_z^{L^*_s}|V'_s|^2F_s\,dt-\int_z^{L^*_s}|V'_s|^2(F_\sigma\circ\ell_s^{-1})\,dt=0$$

Also,

$$\lim_{s\to\sigma}\int_z^{L_s^*}\frac{K^2V_s}{F_s}\,dt-\int_z^{L_s^*}\frac{K^2V_s}{(F_\sigma\circ\ell_s^{-1})}\,dt=0$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{s\to\sigma}\Upsilon(s)=\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)$$

Moreover $\Upsilon(s)\geq\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)$ for all s in $[0,\sigma]$. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{s\to\sigma}\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)\leq\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)$$

This proves that the function

$$s\mapsto\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)$$

is upper semicontinuous, hence continuous. We remark that Cheeger and Colding [CC] proved a general theorem regarding continuity of eigenvalues.

Now we show the left lower Dini derivative of the function

$$s\mapsto\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)$$

is non-negative at every point σ in $(0,1]$ such that $\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)>\Lambda$. Fix σ in $(0,1]$ and assume that

$$\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)>\Lambda$$

By Lemma 2, there is a function φ_σ in $\mathrm{Lip}_0(0,L_\sigma^*)$ such that

$$\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)=\frac{\int_z^{L_\sigma^*}|\varphi_\sigma'|^2F_\sigma+\frac{K^2\varphi_\sigma^2}{F_\sigma}\,dt}{\int_z^{L_\sigma^*}\varphi_\sigma^2F_\sigma\,dt}$$

By Lemma 6,

$$\lim_{t\to L_\sigma^*}\varphi_\sigma(t)=0$$

Also φ' and $\frac{\varphi}{F_\sigma}$ are bounded over $[z,L^*)$. Over $[P,L_\sigma^*]$,

$$|\varphi_\sigma'|^2-\frac{K^2\varphi_\sigma^2}{|F_\sigma|^2}\leq0$$

Note that, for s in $[0,\sigma]$,

$$L_s^*\geq L_\sigma^*$$

Define a function $\xi:[0,\sigma]\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\xi(s)=\frac{\int_z^{L_\sigma^*}|\varphi_\sigma'|^2F_s+\frac{K^2\varphi_\sigma^2}{F_s}\,dt}{\int_z^{L_\sigma^*}|\varphi_\sigma|^2F_s\,dt}$$

Now $\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)\leq\xi(s)$ for s in $[0,\sigma]$, and $\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)=\xi(\sigma)$. Also ξ is left differentiable at σ with

$$\partial_-\xi(\sigma)=\frac{\int_P^{L_\sigma^*}(|\varphi_\sigma'|^2-\frac{K^2\varphi_\sigma^2}{|F_\sigma|^2}-\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma)\varphi_\sigma^2)\dot{F}_\sigma\,dt}{\int_z^{L_\sigma^*}|\varphi_\sigma|^2F_\sigma\,dt}$$

The function $\dot{F}_\sigma$ is non-positive. That is, $\partial_- \xi(\sigma) \geq 0$. This implies that the lower left Dini derivative of the function

$$s \mapsto \lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)$$

is non-negative at σ. That is, the lower left Dini derivative is non-negative at every point σ in $(0, 1]$ such that $\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_\sigma) > \Lambda$. Since the function is also continuous and $\lambda_{K,1}(\omega_0) > \Lambda$, it follows that the function is monotonically increasing. Moreover, if χ is not equal to ω, then for some σ, the function $\dot{F}_\sigma$ is not identically zero, which yields $\partial_+ \xi(\sigma) < 0$. This implies that the lower left Dini derivative of the function

$$s \mapsto \lambda_{K,1}(\omega_s)$$

is negative at some point in $[0, 1]$. In particular, the function is not constant. Now

$$\lambda_{K,1}(\chi_0) = \lambda_{K,1}(\omega_0) < \lambda_{K,1}(\omega_1)$$

This yields $\lambda_{K,N}(\chi) < \lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$. □

Proof of Lemma 1. Suppose α is not equal to ω and $\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha) \geq \lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$. Then by Lemmas 3, 4, 5, and 8,

$$\lambda_{K,N}(\alpha) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\beta) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\gamma) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\zeta) = \lambda_{K,N}(\chi) \leq \lambda_{K,N}(\omega)$$

Since α is not equal to ω, it must either be the case that α is not equal to β or χ is not equal to ω. In the first case, the first inequality is strict by Lemma 3. In the second case, the last inequality is strict by Lemma 8. □

References

[AF] M. Abreu, P. Freitas, *On the invariant spectrum of $\mathbb{S}^1$-invariant metrics on $\mathbb{S}^2$*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom 33 (2008), no. 4, 373-395.

[Be] M. Berger, *Sur les premières valeurs propres des variétés riemanniennes*, Compositio Math. 26 (1973), 129-149.

[Bu] D. Bucur, *Minimization of the k-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **206** (3) (2012), 1073-1083.

[BH] D. Bucur, A. Henrot, *Minimization of the third eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. **456**, 985-996 (2000).

[CC] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, III*, J. Differential Geom. **54** (2000), 37-74.

[C] I. Chavel, *On A. Hurwitz' method in isoperimetric inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1978) no. 2, 275-279.

[CD] B. Colbois, J. Dodziuk, *Riemannian metrics with large λ_1*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1994), no. 3, 905-906.

[CDE] B. Colbois, E. Dryden, A. El Soufi, *Extremal G-invariant eigenvalues of the Laplacian of G-invariant metrics*, Math. Z. 258 (2008) no. 1, 29-41.

[CEG] B. Colbois, A. El Soufi, A. Girouard, *Isoperimetric control of the spectrum of a compact hypersurface*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 683 (2013), 49-65.

[EGJ] A. El Soufi, H. Giacomini, M. Jazar, *A unique extremal metric for the least eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the Klein bottle*, Duke Math. J. 135 (2006), no. 1, 181-202.

[F] G. Faber, Beweiss, dass unter allen homogenen Membrane von gleicher Fläche und gleicher Spannung die kreisf̈rmige die tiefsten Grundton gibt. Sitzungsber.-Bayer Akad. Wiss., München, Math.-Phys. Munich. (1923) 169-172.

[GT] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, 2nd ed.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 1983.

[H] J. Hersch, *Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membranes sphériques homogènes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 270 (1970), A1645-A1648.

[JNP] D. Jakobson, N. Nadirashvili, I. Polterovich, *Extremal metric for the first eigenvalue on a Klein bottle*, Canad. J. Math. 58 (2006), no. 2, 381-400.

[K] E. Krahn, *Über eine von Rayleigh formulierte Minimaleigenschaft des Kreises*, Math. Ann. **94** (1924) 97-100.

[LY] P. Li, S.-T. Yau, *A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces*, Invent. Math. 69 (1982), no. 2, 269-291.

[N1] N. Nadirashvili, *Berger's isoperimetric problem and minimal immersions of surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 6 (1996), no. 5, 877-897.

[N2] N. Nadirashvili, *Isoperimetric inequality for the second eigenvalue of a sphere*, J. Differential Geom. 61 (2002), no. 2, 335-340.

[R] R. C. Reilly, *On the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space*, Comment. Math. Helv. 52 (1977), no. 4, 525-533.

[U] H. Urakawa, *On the least positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact group manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 31 (1979) no. 1, 209-226.

[W] G. N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel functions*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1944.

[YY] P. C. Yang, S.-T. Yau, *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci (4) 7 (1980), no. 1, 55-63.

[Z] A. Zettl, *Sturm-Liouville Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 2005.